



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,755	12/08/2003	David A. George	YOR920030318US1	9339
48150	7590	09/15/2008	EXAMINER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC			MACILWINTON, JOHN MOORE JAIN	
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200			2142	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			MAIL DATE	
			09/15/2008	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/728,755	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GEORGE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> John M. MacLwinen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/2008

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagar et al. (US 6,604,143 B1), hereafter Nagar, in view of Lee et al. (US 2002/0178381 A1), hereafter Lee.

Nagar shows a method of capturing dialog on a computer network, said method comprising: based on an initial access request to a first network node (col. 4 lines 61 – 55, col. 7 lines 15 - 21), establishing contact with an intermediary node so that a subsequent dialog is directed through said intermediary node by causing a request inbound to said first network node to be directed to said intermediary node and causing a response outbound from said first network node that responds to said request to be directed to said intermediary node (Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 48 - 61, col. 7 lines 22 - 60)

wherein a plurality of request inbound to said first network node and a plurality of responding outbound responds are directed to said intermediary node, thereby capturing substantially an entirety of a dialog with said network node (Fig. 3, col. 7 lines 22 - 60, col. 6 lines 50 - 53)

in said intermediary node, modifying content of at least one of said inbound requests and outbound responses (col. 5 lines 5 - 6)

and where said dialog continues to be directed to said intermediary address (Fig. 3)

and causing a dialog with a second node to be directed through said intermediary node (Fig. 3, col. 6 lines 62 - 67, col. 4 lines 34 - 35).

Nagar does not explicitly show wherein said inbound request and said outbound response are directed to said intermediary node by causing a network address of said intermediary node to be added to said inbound request and said outbound response, and wherein said modifying said content comprises adding said network address of said intermediary node and wherein said modifying said content comprises adding said network address of said intermediary node to an inbound request and an outbound response.

Lee shows wherein said inbound request and said outbound response are directed to said intermediary node by causing a network address of said intermediary node to be added to said inbound request and said outbound response (Abstract, Figs. 5A and 6, [52]), and wherein said modifying said content comprises adding said network address of said intermediary node and wherein said modifying said content comprises adding said network address of said intermediary node to an inbound request and an outbound response (Abstract, Figs. 5A and 6, [52]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Nagar with that of Lee in order to insure that both incoming and outgoing messages are routed appropriately through the use of well-understood routing methods such as adding headers (Lee, Figs. 4 and 5).

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. MacIlwinen whose telephone number is (571) 272-9686. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 5:00PM EST; off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Caldwell/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 2142

John MacIlwinen  
(571) 272 - 9686

Application/Control Number: 10/728,755  
Art Unit: 2142

Page 5